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Abstract

This article explores the nexus between conflict-related sexual violence and trafficking for sexual exploitation in times of conflict from a prosecutorial perspective. More specifically, it analyses whether those responsible for conflict-related sexual violence can be prosecuted under domestic and international provisions for human trafficking for sexual exploitation in times of conflict. While this practice is broadly condemned, a lot must still be done to combat the culture of impunity. Focusing on the 13 countries identified by the Secretary-General as currently affected by conflicts, the first part of the article analyses the existent domestic legal framework on human trafficking and gender-related violence. This perusal reveals that national legislation is generally inadequate to comprehensively address these issues. With few exceptions, the domestic frameworks fail to comply with international recognised standards and enforcement challenges in time of conflict complicate further the application and implementation of these provisions for an effective prosecution.

Concluding that no prospect of successful convictions exists under national law, the second part of this paper discusses the possibility of international prosecution. Even if the International Criminal Court (ICC) might have jurisdiction on the alleged crimes, this paper highlights that the crime of trafficking is not explicitly criminalised in the Rome Statute as such and its qualification as ‘sexual slavery’ or ‘enslavement’ is ambiguous. Nevertheless, the ICC could expand the definition of these two crimes to include the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. However, the ICC has not yet prosecuted anybody for trafficking despite the existence of evidence on this crime in some of the states under investigation.

In light of this analysis, this article concludes that there are little prospects of successful prosecution for those responsible for human trafficking because both the domestic and international framework have significant limitations, both internal and external and not sufficient deterrent force. Therefore, it suggests that the international community should keep pushing these 13 countries to improve their domestic legislation up to recognised international standards and the ICC to address the interpretative challenges posed by the crime of trafficking.
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1. Introduction

In his 2018 Report on conflict-related sexual violence (2018 Report), the United Nations (UN) Secretary-General urged the international community to address conflict-related sexual violence (CRSV), emphasising the nexus between CRSV and trafficking in persons for sexual exploitation in time of conflicts (hereinafter, trafficking).

Taking inspiration from the 2018 Report, this article aims to study the nexus between CRSV and trafficking from an accountability point of view.

The decision to focus on this specific aspect is dictated by several factors. The Palermo Protocol, which provides the first international definition of the crime of trafficking, includes prosecution, together with prevention and protection, within its ‘three-P strategy’ to combat trafficking. Similarly, ensuring an accountability system can be considered relevant for other crimes, such as CRSV. Scholars agree that prosecution in times of war plays an important deterrent effect.

Furthermore, trafficking in time of peace is considered a low-risk but high-profit crime because, while profits are huge, in absence of robust criminal justice responses, traffickers are hardly ever prosecuted. If these circumstances make trafficking a very remunerative business in times of peace, this practice is considered even more attractive during conflict. As the Secretary-General highlighted, certain armed groups not only traffic women and girls internally and across the borders for the purpose of sexual exploitation, but also carry out several conflict-related acts of sexual violence during their raids.

Scholars believe that perpetrators of CRSV are often not prosecuted because of the difficulty to gather evidence during the investigation and the inability of linking CRSV to high-ranking accused. Since these two types of crimes are
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5 Palermo Protocol, paras. 16, 18, 33.
deeply intertwined, it is clear that impunity for CRSV allows the sexual trafficking market to flourish, and vice versa. Thus, prosecuting those responsible for CRSV protects potential trafficking victims because it discourages the perpetrators from ‘pursuing their business’.

The first necessary step to fight the culture of indifference towards both CRSV and trafficking and to ensure an adequate prosecution is to properly criminalise these acts. The scholarly debate on this point is very limited.\(^7\) Thus, Part I of this article aims to assess domestic legislations and enforcement tools available in the 13 countries in conflict identified by the 2018 Report: Afghanistan, Central African Republic (CAR), Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Iraq, Libya, Mali, Myanmar, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan (Darfur), Syria and Yemen.

In support of the argument according to which CRSV is not adequately addressed at the national level because domestic shortfalls are worsened during war-times, this article suggests that CRSV and trafficking should be prosecuted at the international level. Part II of this article explores the international dimension of CRSV and trafficking focusing on two different issues. First, it analyses whether the ICC has jurisdiction over the countries where the Secretary-General noticed a deep nexus between CRSV and trafficking. Second, it highlights that, while CRSV is within the subject matter of the ICC, the ability of the ICC to investigate and prosecute trafficking crimes is not obvious. In conclusion, it advocates a modification of the Rome Statute to introduce the crime of trafficking as a separate crime from slavery.

2. CRSV and Trafficking as National Crimes

In his 2018 Report, the Secretary-General described the term ‘conflict-related sexual violence’ as including crimes such as ‘rape, sexual slavery, forced prostitution, forced pregnancy, forced abortion, enforced sterilization, forced marriage and any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity perpetrated against women, men, girls or boys that is directly or indirectly linked to a conflict’.\(^8\) He also provides a definition for ‘trafficking in persons when
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committed in situations of conflict for the purpose of sexual violence' indicating that this set of crimes can be considered as a subgroup of the previous category.

However, this article departs from this definition, opting for the more structured and thorough definition of trafficking provided by the Palermo Protocol, underlining the element of movement and prescinding from sexual violence. This definition informs the assessment of the domestic legislation proposed in the following section, which explores how the 13 countries under analysis are facing CRSV and trafficking in the context of conflict through their domestic criminal law framework.

2.1. Screening of Domestic Legal Framework

The body of law that applies during armed conflicts is generally known as international humanitarian law (IHL). However, since IHL was developed to protect civilians from the hostilities and sets the limits on the methods of warfare, it is very difficult to find provisions which prohibit or, even, criminalise trafficking. For this reason, this paper focuses on the Palermo Protocol, the most important international human rights instrument to combat trafficking, which still applies alongside IHL in time of armed conflicts. Moreover, this paper analyses whether states have incorporated this crime within their domestic legislations following its ratification. Furthermore, if one considers CRSV as a mean of war, then the crime can be prosecuted under IHL by domestic courts, and, if they are unwilling and unable, by the ICC. Otherwise, CRSV can be prosecuted by national tribunals under domestic legislations which prohibit such conducts.

In light of these remarks, this paper considers four variables. The first variable verifies whether the country has ratified or accessed the Palermo Protocol. The second one assesses whether trafficking is criminalised and to which extent the domestic legislation matches the international provisions. Category A indicates countries where the crime of trafficking is prohibited, meets the definitional criteria set out by the Palermo Protocol and explicitly includes sex trafficking or trafficking for sexual exploitation. Category B includes countries where the crime of trafficking is prohibited by law but does not fully meet the definitional criteria and/or does not explicitly include sex trafficking. Finally, category C encompasses countries where trafficking is not criminalised.

The third and fourth variables evaluate whether sexual violence is criminalised both a) in war-time and b) in peace-time. As far as the former is concerned, Category A includes all the countries that are parties to the ICC and have an
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10 Ibid.
adequate implementing legislation covering CRSV. Category B indicates both the state parties to the ICC without adequate implementing legislation and non-parties but with domestic provisions specifically criminalising international crimes including CRSV as acts, while category C refers to state parties to the ICC and without any domestic provisions criminalising CRSV as international crimes. Sexual violence is a broad term that, as the Secretary-General explained, includes a wide range of acts. This variety, based on Articles 7 and 8 of the Rome Statute, may pose a challenge when looking at the national criminal law framework in time of peace because these acts may constitute different types of domestic crimes, which are often not included under a common label of ‘sexual violence’, being the latter rather associated with rape. Moreover, cultural, historical, traditional and religious elements may influence the qualification of a specific act as a crime. Sexual violence can be equally perpetrated against women, men, girls or boys. However, the term and the acts that are included are commonly associated with women or children only, and this approach is often reflected in domestic legislation. On this basis, the analysis of sexual violence-related crimes in time of peace opted for a categorisation into three groups: A, B and C. Category A, which mirrors the definition in the 2018 Report, indicates countries where sexual violence is criminalised and includes more than simply rape and establishes that both men and women (adult and children) could be victims. Category B indicates countries where sexual violence is criminalised but the crime includes only rape or is limited to acts against women and children. Finally, category C indicates countries without any provision criminalising sexual violence. Table 1 sums up this analysis.

2.1.1. The Palermo Protocol

As Table 1 shows, almost all the countries under analysis ratified or accessed the Palermo Protocol. Mali, Colombia and Libya were among the participating countries to the drafting process and among the first to ratify the Protocol between 2002 and 2004. Other countries, like Sudan and Afghanistan accessed the Protocol only in 2014. On the contrary, South Sudan, Somalia and Yemen are yet to be signatories to the treaty and no diplomatic measures are in place at the moment to this end. Nevertheless, this promising picture with 10 out of 13 countries bound by the international treaty is of little importance without adequate domestic implementation framework. For this reason, the next section investigates whether such a domestic implementation actually took place.
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Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. Palermo Protocol(^\text{13})</th>
<th>2. Crime of Trafficking(^\text{14})</th>
<th>3. Sexual violence related crimes(^\text{15})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of Congo</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2. The Crime of Trafficking

To be able to prosecute someone for having committed sex trafficking, it is necessary to have a crime of trafficking in national legislation. According to Article 3 of the Palermo Protocol, trafficking shall require action (e.g. recruitment, transportation, transfer, or harbouring), means (e.g. by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud), and purpose (e.g. exploitation). As already clarified above, the analysis of the criminalisation of trafficking made for this article distinguished three categories A, B and C.

Afghanistan, CAR, Mali and Myanmar are included in category A because they meet the criteria set out in the Palermo Protocol. The relevant provisions
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\(^{14}\) Afghanistan: Law on the Campaign Against Abduction and Human Trafficking, No. 952 (2008); CAR: Article 151, Penal Code; Colombia: Law No. 985 (2005); Iraq: Law No. 28 (2012); Libya: Penal Code; Mali: Law 23 (2012); Myanmar: Chapter I, Anti-Trafficking in Persons law No. 5 (2005); South Sudan: Article 282, Penal Code; Sudan: Section 7, Chapter III, Combating Human Trafficking Act (2014); Syria: Decree No. 5 (2011).

\(^{13}\) Accession (a) and ratification (r) dates as follow: Afghanistan (a) 15 August 2014; CAR (a) 6 October 2006; Colombia (r) 4 August 2004; Iraq (a) 9 February 2009; Libya (r) 24 October 2004; Mali (r) 12 April 2002; Myanmar (a) 30 March 2004; Sudan (a) 2 December 2014; Syrian Arab Republic (r) 8 April 2009.

can be contained in the penal code, such as for CAR that in its amended 2010 version included a very detailed and international standards-compliant provision on trafficking.\textsuperscript{16} Alternatively, the criminalisation of trafficking can be established by a specific piece of legislation. Mali, for example, approved anti-trafficking legislation in 2012, where the definition of trafficking mirrored that proposed by the Palermo Protocol.\textsuperscript{17}

The majority of the countries under analysis (six) falls within category B, according to which the crime of trafficking is prohibited by law but does not fully meet the definitional criteria. For instance, Colombia’s 2005 anti-trafficking law lacks the element of the means in its definition of trafficking and, therefore, fails to comply with international standards.\textsuperscript{18} On the contrary, Libya’s criminal code criminalises trafficking but no reference can be found to both the elements constituting trafficking and the specific instance of sex trafficking.\textsuperscript{19}

Finally, DRC, Somalia and Yemen have not criminalised trafficking falling within category C. Nevertheless, although no reference to trafficking is made, Yemen’s penal code criminalises slavery.\textsuperscript{20} Similarly, Somalia’s provisional draft for the new constitution includes a provision prohibiting trafficking but this is not criminalised and thus, not prosecutable.\textsuperscript{21}

\subsection*{2.1.3. Sexual Violence and Related Crimes}

Considering the focus of this research, the analysis of the domestic framework on trafficking goes hand in hand with that on CRSV. As previously mentioned, when addressing the existing legal framework criminalising CRSV, it is possible to look at the national implementing legislation of the ICC Statute and, in particular, of Articles 7 and 8 of the Rome Statute, respectively, on crimes against humanity and war crimes. For countries that are not parties to the ICC, the analysis focuses on whether their national criminal framework nevertheless include international crimes such as war crimes and crimes against humanity.

Among the 13 countries under analysis only five of them are state parties to the ICC: Afghanistan, Colombia, CAR, DRC and Mali and all of them meet the standard to be labelled as A. Already in 2001, Mali amended its Criminal Code to include all the international crimes as later established by the Rome Stat-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{16} CAR, Penal Code, Law No. 10.001 (2010). See also Afghanistan, Law 1260 (2017) amending the Penal Code.
\item \textsuperscript{17} Mali, Law No. 23 (2012). See also Myanmar, Anti Trafficking in Persons Law, No. 5 (2005).
\item \textsuperscript{18} Colombia, Law No. 985 (2005).
\item \textsuperscript{20} Yemen, Penal Code.
\item \textsuperscript{21} Provisional constitutional draft text available at http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/research/Somalia-Constitution2012.pdf.
\end{itemize}
CAR enacted two important laws in 2010 and 2015 amending the criminal code and criminal procedure code.\(^{22}\) Similarly, DRC approved in 2015 three detailed and comprehensive laws amending the criminal code, the criminal military code and the criminal procedure code.\(^{23}\) On the same page, Afghanistan new 2017 penal code fully implements the Rome Statute provisions.\(^{24}\) Colombia, on the other hand, already had domestic legislation criminalising CRSV as an international crime well before becoming a party to the ICC and continued to improve its national legislation afterward, including a specific piece of legislation on sexual violence in armed conflict approved in 2014. While none of the countries under analysis fit within category B (since there is no state without adequate implementing legislation which criminalise CRSV anyway), eight countries, which are not parties to the ICC, fit within category C since they have no legislation criminalising CRSV.

As far as the legislation on sexual violence related crimes in time of peace is concerned, only 3 of the 13 countries under analysis (Afghanistan, Colombia and the DRC) criminalise sexual violence falling within Category A. The aforementioned 2017 amendments to the Afghan Penal Code significantly increase the protection given to victims of sexual violence, including under this umbrella terms a wide range of unlawful acts both against men and women.\(^{26}\) In addition to its 2008 Law on crimes against women,\(^{27}\) Colombia approved in 2014 a specific law on sexual violence in armed conflict where the term victim includes men, women, girls and boys and the criminalised acts are complying with the list proposed by the SG.\(^{28}\) Moreover, Article 6 specifically refers to sex-trafficking in armed conflict, extending the meaning of ‘sexual exploitation’ to any act of exploitation of a sexual character including servile or forced marriage, sexual servitude, sexual tourism and so on.\(^{29}\) Similarly, in DRC, the Penal Code, as amended in 2006, criminalises a wide range of sexual violence-related crimes against both men and women.\(^{30}\)

Five countries fall within category B indicating that in CAR, Iraq, Mali, South Sudan and Sudan, sexual violence is criminalised but the crime includes rape

\(^{24}\) DRC, Law modifying law N.024.2002 of 18 November 2002 amending the criminal military code (2015); Law modifying and complementing the act of 30 January 1940 amending the criminal code (2015); Law modifying and complementing the act of 6 August 1959 amending the criminal procedure code (2015).
\(^{26}\) Afghanistan, Law 1260 (2017) amending the Penal Code, Section 8, Chapter 1.
\(^{27}\) Colombia, Law No. 1527 (2008).
\(^{28}\) Colombia, Law No. 1719 (2014).
\(^{29}\) Ibid., Article 6.
only or is limited to acts against women and children. In Mali and Iraq, only rape and sexual assaults are criminalised, although extended as including both men and women as victims.\(^{31}\) On the contrary, in CAR, South Sudan and Sudan, the range of sexual-related acts that are criminalised is wider but only when committed against women and children.\(^{32}\)

Finally, no mention of this in the penal code can be found in Myanmar, Somalia and Yemen. In Libya, the crime of rape exists but is configured as a crime against the honour of the family of the woman rather than against her integrity and dignity and, as such, it has been deliberately labelled by as inexistent.\(^{33}\) All these countries fall under category C.

Syria was not included in this analysis for the impossibility of finding a translated version from Arabic of the penal code and accessories pieces of legislation on the matter.

In conclusion, the domestic framework on trafficking and sexual violence of the 13 countries under scrutiny appears only partially adequate to bring the perpetrators of these crimes to justice. Some countries have sufficient regulation and criminalisation of trafficking or sexual violence but only Afghanistan with its new penal code reached a satisfactory level in both. Considering that the two criminal conducts go hand in hand in the context of CRSV and trafficking, this shows the limits of national legislation to adequately understand the nexus between the two crimes and effectively criminalise sexual violence and sex trafficking. Nevertheless, the legislation analysis highlights some positive developments and efforts made towards a more robust framework to address the multifaceted nature of CRSV and trafficking, in compliance with the international standards. This is the case of, for instance, Colombia, Mali or CAR that are very close to have a suitable criminal framework. On the other hand, countries like Yemen or Somalia, and Libya and Myanmar as well to a lesser extent, seem to be very far from this goal. Yet, the challenge of improving the legal framework goes hand in hand with that of ensuring its enforcement and application. One without the other may result in being completely useless in addressing CRSV and trafficking. The following section explores some of these challenges.

### 2.2. Enforcement Challenges

Many enforcement aspects may significantly limit the effectiveness of domestic criminal law during an armed conflict. They concern

---

\(^{31}\) Iraq: Penal Code, Articles 396-398; Mali: Penal Code, Articles 224-227.

\(^{32}\) CAR: Penal Code, Section II Articles 81, 112-117; South Sudan: Penal Code, Chapter XVIII; Sudan: Penal Code, Sections 316-319.

all the stages from the acknowledgment of the crime, to investigation, prosecution and enforcement of the judgment.

To start with, the existence of a conflict may limit the acquisition of information from the affected regions and complicate the discovery or acknowledgment of the crimes committed. Normal channels of communication and government’s peripheral government networks are often suspended or altered. Moreover, government officials are sometimes not able to reach certain areas affected by the conflict, as it happened in Darfur and Syria, with consequential underreporting of crimes.34

Furthermore, law requires enforcement agencies with powers to appropriately address the challenges deriving from the fight against the crime of trafficking.35 More specifically, each state should be equipped with specialist and centralised anti-trafficking units, which should enforce the law.36 They are a fundamental piece for ensuring the effectiveness of a domestic anti-trafficking criminal law system, as they are in charge of both conducting investigations and enforcing the court’s judgments. Out of the 13 countries, only five (Afghanistan, Colombia, Myanmar, Somalia and Sudan) have a specialised law enforcement unit or a sub-unit or team within the law enforcement structure that has specialised mandate to conduct investigations into trafficking.37 From this perspective, it is interesting to note that trafficking in Somalia has not an exact definition since it is only prohibited in the Draft Constitution, while specific legislations exist for the other four countries.38 However, there is no evidence that any of these enforcement units are fully funded or have sufficient budget to carry out the duties for which they were created.39 Therefore, these trafficking units might only be empty shells with no actual functions. From this perspective, it should be recalled that all the countries under analysis are currently experiencing international or national conflicts. This has been affecting their response to crimes because many countries lack basic infrastructures.40 In Afghanistan, for instance, four specific anti-trafficking units have been recently established:

36 Ibid., 324.
39 Ibid.
the Anti-Trafficking-in-Persons (TIP) Unit, the Afghanistan Border Police (ABP) Unit, the trafficking units of the National Directorate for Security (NDS) and those of the National Central Bureau (NCB) of the INTERPOL. Nevertheless, a recent study reveals that none of them benefit from the necessary funding to carry out their functions, thus significantly limiting their possibility of action.\textsuperscript{41} Similarly, in Somalia, the Somali Police Force (SPF) should have a 40-officer Counter-Trafficking and Organized Crime Unit, but apparently this unit has never received counter-trafficking training and entered into force.\textsuperscript{42}

Moreover, it must be recalled that, in order to start an investigation and bring the responsible to justice, the cooperation of victims and witnesses is required. However, victims are generally reluctant to take part in the proceedings and they might suffer from several mental health issues, such as anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder.\textsuperscript{43} Speaking about their experiences might deepen their trauma, especially if they need to testify about intimate details before judges in unfamiliar settings.\textsuperscript{44} Also, victims of sexual crimes are often stigmatised for having been raped, having contracted HIV/AIDS, being unmarriageable, having children as a result of rape and, consequently, isolated by their families and communities.\textsuperscript{45} Thus, they might not come forward for fear of retaliation. To address these issues, states should quickly identify CRSV and trafficking victims and provide them with appropriate protective and supportive measures. However, from the data available, it seems that only Colombia and Mali have protective measures which can be applied inside the courtroom to safeguard witnesses’ identity and support them in the difficult phases of the testimony.\textsuperscript{46} Conversely, none of the 13 countries have protection mechanism for victims and witnesses outside the courtroom.

This complex pattern is made even more complicated by the very same nature of the crimes, which are not ordinary crimes. Trafficking, for instance, has a transnational dimension. Traffickers exploit this situation to their advan-
tage. Therefore, national institutions which are already weakened by the war context, might be unable to follow both the perpetrators and the victims to a different state. Studies have shown that transnational organised crime groups work in small groups to achieve their criminal purposes since bigger and centralised structures are more easily targeted and caught by law enforcement measures.\(^{47}\) For this reason, an active coordination and cooperation among governments of different countries is necessary to curb these crimes.

2.3. Challenges and Perspectives: The Limits of National Criminal Law for Addressing CRSV and trafficking and the International Scope of these Crimes

Although national states should be primarily responsible for prosecuting crimes committed within their jurisdiction and bring perpetrators to justice, the previous analysis showed that they may not be fully equipped to do so, especially in situations of conflicts. The legal framework in the 13 countries identified by the SG Report proved to be overall inadequate to prosecute CRSV and trafficking and to understand the nexus between these two crimes. Although some countries, such as Colombia and Mali, have a more advanced framework than others, their criminal law machinery remain inappropriate to break the circle of impunity that link them. Moreover, enforcement obstacles imposed by the situation of conflict, the lack of resources and training and the sensitivity of the crimes at stake make the domestic criminal law response to CRSV and trafficking even more limited.

As a consequence, this article suggests that national efforts should be complemented at international level. While CRSV are international crimes recognised by the Rome Statute, the nature of trafficking is still debatable. The Palermo Protocol endorses a traditional approach where trafficking is a transnational crime. This is clear from the fact that the Protocol is designed to promote the cooperation between states to combat trafficking.\(^{48}\) However, the idea that trafficking should be treated as an international crime is well promoted by many scholars. For instance, Tavakoli believes that, if the international community considers trafficking as a transnational crime, it neglects the essence of the crime, which offends fundamental humanitarian values and conscience


of humankind.\textsuperscript{49} This view is also shared by Aston and Chuang.\textsuperscript{50} Quoting Robert Cryer, for instance, Aston believes that trafficking should be upgraded from transnational to international crime for principled and practical reasons such as beliefs and the values they are in conflict with are sufficiently important to the international community and that international prosecution is an effective way of dealing with them.\textsuperscript{51} With this in mind, this article discusses the role of the ICC, the first permanent international criminal institution, in prosecuting the allegedly responsible for CRSV and trafficking.

3. CRSV and Trafficking as International Crimes

To date, the Rome Statute, the governing multilateral treaty of the ICC, has been signed by 123 States.\textsuperscript{52} However, despite its potential global reach, the ICC can only prosecute crimes committed within its jurisdiction.\textsuperscript{53} Thus, the first obstacle to prosecute those responsible for CRSV and trafficking is jurisdiction, which is analysed in the next section.

3.1. Jurisdiction

As clarified in the previous section, the ICC can only prosecute crimes which are carried out in the states that have accepted its jurisdiction. However, among the 13 countries indicated in the 2018 Report, only five are parties to the Rome Statute.\textsuperscript{54} These states are Afghanistan, CAR, Colombia, DRC and Mali. The lack of ratification of the Rome Statute by the other eight countries constitutes a difficult but not impossible obstacle for the prosecution of CRSV and trafficking by the ICC. Indeed, it can be nuanced in two circumstances.

First, according to Article 13 of the Rome Statute, the jurisdiction of the ICC can be triggered by a State Party, the ICC Prosecutor and the Security Council acting under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations in order to

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\bibitem{Cryer} R. Cryer et al., \textit{An Introduction to International Criminal Law and Procedure} (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 282.
\bibitem{UNGA} UNGA, Rome Statute, Art. 12(1).
\bibitem{Ibid} Ibid.
\end{thebibliography}
maintaining international peace and security. In the latter case, it is not necessary that the state is party to the Rome Statute because the legal obligation for the ICC derives from the very same UN Security resolutions, which are binding upon United Nations Member States in accordance with Article 25 of the UN Charter.\textsuperscript{55}

The legal provision of the Rome Statute dealing with the referral by the Security Council has been one of the most scrutinised provisions of the entire Rome Statute.\textsuperscript{56} Because of this provision, the ICC has been accused of adopting a selective justice system and of letting an obligation never accepted by certain states enter from the backdoor.\textsuperscript{57} Despite this criticism, the ICC has used this referral mechanism twice. The first time, the situation in Darfur was at the centre of the Resolution 1593 (2005), which authorised the ICC to exercise its jurisdiction over crimes listed in the Rome Statute committed on the territory of Darfur or by its nationals from 1 July 2002 onwards.\textsuperscript{58} The second time, the ICC referred the situation in Libya so that it could exercise its jurisdiction over crimes committed on the territory of Libya or by its nationals from 15 February 2011 onwards.\textsuperscript{59} In Darfur, some Sudanese Government officials, Militia/Janjaweed leaders, and leaders of the Resistance Front were charged only with rape as far as CRSV is concerned.\textsuperscript{60} No other charges for sexual violence crimes were issued. However, none of these people were convicted since most of the indicted are still at large. In Libya, the majority of charges involved crimes against humanity, such as murder and persecution although an arrest warrant for rape

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{58} UN Security Council Resolution 1593 (S/RES/1593), 31 March 2005.
\end{itemize}
was issued towards Al-Tuhamy Mohamed Khaled. Furthermore, although no formal charges have been issued in relation to trafficking in Libya, in its Report to the Security Council, Bensouda clarified that the OTP launched several initiatives to disseminate and the profiles of high-priority individuals who are allegedly involved in trafficking of human beings. Although the ICC has caught the nexus between these two types of crimes in certain instance, these episodes show that there is still a lot of work to be done since both countries are included in the 2018 Report among those where a strong link between CRSV and trafficking has been registered. Indeed, in avoiding to prosecute and convict those allegedly responsible for CRSV, the ICC allowed trafficking to flourish.

In addition to this, it must be noted that the issue of jurisdiction must take into consideration the transnational nature of the involved crimes. A good example of this can be found in the referral made by Bangladesh for the alleged deportations of Rohingya Muslims from Myanmar to Bangladesh. While the ICC has no jurisdiction over Myanmar, Bangladesh is a state party to the Rome Statute. Pre-Trial I ruled that the ICC may exercise its jurisdiction over the alleged deportation of the Rohingya people from Myanmar to Bangladesh since one of the elements of the crime (conduct) is committed on the territory of a State Party and, thus, within the ICC’s jurisdiction. This referral has the potential to open new ways to prosecute crimes of inherently transboundary nature. Despite this encouraging perspective, it should be noted that the OTP did not attempt to prosecute those responsible for CRSV and trafficking of Rohingya Muslims. The 2018 Report clarified that when the UN Special Representative visited camps and settlements in Cox’s Bazar (Bangladesh) in November 2017, she heard testimonies of ‘almost every woman and girl of patterns of rape, gang rape, forced nudity and abduction for the purpose of sexual slavery during military campaigns’.

Thus, although it could have been easy to find evidentiary sources to prove that those responsible for CRSV had also trafficked the victims for the purpose of sexual exploitation, the Prosecutor asked the Pre-Trial Division to open an investigation on the basis of Article 7(i)(d) of the Rome Statute only for the crime of deportation of 670,000 Rohingya, lawfully present in Myanmar, who were intentionally deported across the international border into Bangladesh.
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64 Prosecution’s Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute, President of the Trial Division, ICC-RoC46(3)-01/18-1, 9 April 2018.
Against this background, it must be noted that while CRSV such as rape, sexual assault, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, and enforced sterilisation are now considered international crimes and recognised in Articles 7(1)(g), 8(2)(b)(xxii) and 8(2)(b)(e)(vi) of the Rome Statute, trafficking is not contained in any of these categories. This represents a big obstacle to the prosecution of those responsible for trafficking especially considering that sexual crimes in a conflict-related context operate in a vicious cycle.

The absence of the crime for ‘trafficking of persons’ within the Rome Statute limits the power of the ICC to prosecute the allegedly responsible for trafficking. However, the ICC tried to include the trafficking within the crimes of slavery and enslavement, which are listed among crime against humanity. This is clear from both the Rome Statute and the Elements of Crimes. Indeed, Article 7(2)(c) of the Rome Statute states that enslavement ‘includes the exercise of such power in the course of trafficking in persons, in particular women and children’. Similarly, the Elements of Crime clarifies that the conducts of purchasing, selling, lending or bartering such a person or persons, or by imposing on them a similar deprivation of liberty under the crime against humanity of enslavement (Articles 7(i)(c)), crime against humanity of sexual slavery (Article 7(i)(g)-2), war crime of sexual slavery (Article 8(2)(b)(xxii)-2) and war crime of sexual slavery (Article 8(2)(e)(vi)-2) include trafficking, in particular women and children. Regardless of whether the relevant conduct falls within the category of crimes against humanity or war crimes, the two crimes of sexual slavery and enslavement seem to be two umbrella terms which include trafficking.

Under the Rome Statute, the two crimes of slavery and enslavement are synonymous since they are defined using the same terminology, i.e. ‘[t]he perpetrator exercised any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership over one or more persons, such as by purchasing, selling, lending or bartering such a person or persons, or by imposing on them a similar deprivation of liberty’. Despite this, Siller tried to distinguish the two terms investigating their relationship with the crime of trafficking. Specifically, she believes that, in light of the international criminal jurisprudence, these two terms should not be considered as synonyms because international jurisprudence supports the view that a material link exists between enslavement and trafficking. Unfortunately, the premises on which Siller’s view is based are fallacious since she uses the case-law of other international criminal tribunals to clarify the meaning of the terms ‘slavery’ and ‘enslavement’ in the Rome Statute. However, this case-law is not contained among the sources of law applicable by the ICC judges.
under Article 21 of the Rome Statute. Conversely, it includes the Rome Statute, Elements of Crimes and Rules of Procedure and Evidence, which support the view that these two terms should be considered as synonyms. In absence of any jurisprudence which clarifies whether the two terms of slavery and enslavement are synonyms, it is not possible to agree with Siller’s interpretation. Furthermore, a closer interpretation of the Article 21(3), which reads that the interpretation of law must be consistent with internationally recognised human rights, fosters the idea that the term ‘trafficking’ should be interpreted in light to the Palermo Protocol and, thus, considered as a separated crime. Thus, in order to understand whether sexual slavery (and its synonymous term of enslavement) can be used to provide legal coverage to the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, it is necessary to understand the meaning of ‘sexual slavery’.

3.2. Sexual Slavery as Trafficking for the Purpose of Sexual Exploitation

Trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation and sexual slavery is not necessarily synonymous, although the term ‘sexual’ indicates the limitations of someone’s autonomy and power to decide about their own sexual activity.\(^69\) As already mentioned in Section 1, the key elements of trafficking are the elements of action, means and purpose. As far as ‘sexual slavery’ is concerned, Article 7(2)(c) provides a definition of ‘enslavement’ as the ‘exercise of any or all the powers attaching to the right of ownership over a person and includes the exercise of such power in the course of trafficking, in particular women and children’. The key element of this definition, which entails the right of ownership, is akin to the description of the crime of ‘slavery’ under the 1926 Slavery Convention.\(^70\) In addition to this, Article 7(1)(c) of Elements of Crimes of the Rome Statute clarifies that ‘the perpetrator exercised any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership over one or more persons, such as by purchasing, selling, lending or bartering such a person or persons, or by imposing on them a similar deprivation of liberty’. This definition contains some elements which are similar to the definition of trafficking. As far as the action is concerned, while trafficking requires ‘the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons’, slavery focuses on actions like ‘purchasing, selling, lending or bartering such a person or persons, or by imposing on them a similar deprivation of liberty’. From this perspective, the conducts seem to be quite different. However, since both lists are not exhaustive,


\(^70\) League of Nations, Convention to Suppress the Slave Trade and Slavery, 25 September 1926, 60 LNTS 253, Registered No. 1414.
it is not possible to exclude the overlap of some conducts. Furthermore, the Rome Statute does not explicitly include the element of ‘means’, since it only refers to the ‘the right of ownership over one or more persons’. However, an implicit reference to the elements of ‘means’, according to which slavery must be the result of coercion, threat or force, as requested by the Palermo Protocol, seems to be supported by Trial Chamber II in Katanga.\footnote{Prosecutor v. Katanga, ‘Judgement Pursuant Article 74 of the Statute’, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436-1ENG, 20 April 2015, 975. For a different view on this point, see Hall, Powderly & Hayes, ‘Article 7’, 214.} Here, Trial Chamber II clarified that ‘the powers attaching to right of ownership must be construed as the use, enjoyment and disposal of a person who is regarded as property, by placing him or her in a situation of dependence which entails his or her deprivation of any form of autonomy’.\footnote{Ibid.} If the element of lack of autonomy is interpreted to imply the use of coercion or force, it can be concluded that the definition of slavery might include the element of means. Furthermore, the third element, the purpose of sexual exploitation, required by the Palermo Protocol, seems to be present in the definition of ‘sexual slavery’. Indeed, as clarified by Article 7(1)(g)-2 of the Elements of Crime, ‘the perpetrator caused such person or persons to engage in one or more acts of a sexual nature’.

Finally, it should be noted that Article 7(1)(g)-2 of the Elements of Crime indicates that other three elements are necessary to qualify the crime of sexual slavery as a crime against humanity. It says that ‘[t]he conduct was committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against a civilian population. The perpetrator knew that the conduct was part of or intended the conduct to be part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against a civilian population’. The elements of widespread or systematic attack, the fact that this must be directed against a civilian population and the \textit{mens rea}, i.e. knowledge of the perpetrator, is not required by the definition of trafficking, as defined by the Palermo Protocol. While Aston believes that these elements are always present in the crime of trafficking because they are often directed towards a specific group of people and that the perpetrator is aware of the act of the organised crime supporting the crime against humanity, this article suggests that these elements must be demonstrated on a case-by-case basis.\footnote{Aston, 165.}

Also, the ICC’s practice shows that the link between these two types of crimes have been neglected by the OTP and Pre-Trial Chambers. The crime of sexual slavery has been charged three times, in the cases of \textit{Katanga} and \textit{Ngudjolo, Ntaganda} and \textit{Kony}.\footnote{Prosecutor v. Ntaganda, Decision Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute on the Charges of the Prosecutor Against Bosco Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-109, 9 June 2014, 36; Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges, ICC-01/04-01/07-717, 30 September 2008; Prosecutor v. Kony et al, ‘Warrant of Arrest for Joseph Kony’ Issued on 8 July 2005 as Amended on 27 September 2005, ICC-02/04-01/05-53, 27 September 2005.} The first two cases are based in the DRC, while
the investigation into Kony’s conducts are located in Uganda. Although the DRC is indicated by the Secretary-General in its 2018 Report as one the countries where SCSV and trafficking are deeply linked but the ICC has only referred to sexual slavery in the Ntaganda and Katanga, where the accused were charged (but not convicted) with the crime against humanity of sexual slavery for the actions committed by their troops in the Ituri Province in the DRC. Conversely, no attention has been given to trafficking. Furthermore, the difficulties to charge individuals for trafficking is even clearer in the Arrest Warrant for Al-Bashir because despite the fact that the 2018 Report included Darfur among those regions where a strong link between CRSV and trafficking exists, the Arrest Warrant only mentions the crime of rape. From this analysis, it is clear that the ICC has completely overlooked the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. This can be explained by the fact that this crime is not explicitly mentioned in the Rome Statute and, thus, the OTP would have no reasons to collect evidence on a crime, which is not within the ICC’s jurisdiction.

*Rebus sic stantibus*, the scope of the crime of sexual slavery could be expanded to include the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, in line with the Rome Statute and the Elements of Crimes. Although the two crimes are distinct, this would be possible using an evolutive interpretation of the term ‘sexual slavery’. Indeed, it must be noted that at the time of the adoption of the Rome Statute, the Palermo Protocol with the definition of trafficking, did not exist yet. However, in absence of any case-law of the ICC on this issue, it is difficult to predict whether the judges will use this broad interpretation and whether, for instance, they would choose to differentiate the crime of ‘slavery’ from the crime of ‘enslavement’. However, this solution would not be in line with internationally recognised human rights under Article 21(3) of the Rome Statute and, more specifically, with the Palermo Protocol which defines the crime of trafficking as a distinct form of crime, separated by the crime of slavery. For this reason, this article suggests a modification of the Rome Statute in order to encompass, among the crimes against humanity, the crime of trafficking, and, more specifically, the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. This solution has the advantage to safeguard nexus between CRSV and trafficking and stresses that only moving trafficking from the shade of CRSV, the ICC would give the trafficking crimes the attention they deserve.

4. Conclusions

CRSV and trafficking are strictly related, especially in the context of armed conflict since they both spring from the same root of criminality and feed each other. Thus, they should be tackled together because only by breaking the chain of impunity for one it is really possible to ensure justice for the other.

States should be the main stakeholders in fighting against these heinous phenomena even if they are often directly involved in these acts. The analysis of domestic legislation reveals a very diversified picture in the 13 countries currently in conflict identified by the Secretary-General with an overall poor performance. Some of them, such as Colombia, Mali and CAR are making important steps toward complying with international standards by adequately criminalise trafficking in an effective and comprehensive way and have ratified to the Rome Statute. Other countries are lagging behind, far from meeting any minimum standards and without apparent interest in acceding the Palermo Protocol and the Rome Statute.

However, any legal framework can be effective only if properly enforced. In situation of armed conflict, this enforcement is made even harder. In particular, this article identified the lack of specific anti-trafficking law enforcement units or normal law-enforcement units trained on how to deal with trafficking as one big obstacle for adequate investigations and judgments. Especially in the context of conflicts, the lack of staff, resources and training to these units make it sometimes impossible for governmental officials to acknowledge the existence of CRSV or trafficking, to conduct a proper investigation and, to ultimately stop the crime and bring justice. Similarly, the lack of services for victims of both crimes prevent the latter from participating in the investigations and proceedings, limiting their effectiveness. Considering the sensitivity of the issue, the transboundary nature of the crime and role that sometimes is played by cultural, religious and societal concerns, it is fundamental to have dedicated services to apply in these circumstances and adequate staff to enforce it. The variable of conflict further complicates this picture, making the domestic criminal framework unable to practically address CRSV and trafficking.

The international criminal framework could offer an alternative for prosecuting CRSV and trafficking. However, this article showed that, out of the 13 countries where a deep link between these two types of crimes exist, only five are parties to the Rome Statute. This limits the possibility of international prosecution, which can only be carried out in presence of a Security Council resolution authorising such an investigation. In addition to this, this article highlighted that the crime of trafficking is not explicitly criminalised in the Rome Statute as such and its qualification as ‘sexual slavery’ or ‘enslavement’ is ambiguous since it does not fully respect Article 21(3) of the Rome Statute. Despite this, the interpretation of these crimes could be expanded to include the crime of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, in line with the Rome
Statute and the Elements of Crimes. However, the ICC has not yet prosecuted anybody for trafficking despite the existence of evidence on this crime in some of the states under investigation. Thus, it was suggested to modify the legal framework accordingly and, more specifically, to encompass, among the crimes against humanity, the crime of trafficking also for the purpose of sexual exploitation.

In conclusion, neither the domestic criminal law framework nor the ICC seem to be equipped to properly prosecute CRSV and trafficking and fully understand the nexus between the two. This is due to both legal and practical obstacles posed by the conflict situation and the lack of willingness by governments to make it a priority in their prosecution agenda. This is why this article suggests that it is important to keep pushing these 13 countries to improve their domestic legislation up to recognised international standards and the ICC to address the interpretative challenges posed by the crime of trafficking. Until CRSV and trafficking are recognised as an emergency in current days conflicts and their prosecution a priority for the international community, there will not be enough efforts to this extent.
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