

REALaw peer review

All content published in REALaw is subject to a peer review process. Articles can be submitted by authors by the means indicated on the website. After submitting their article, authors receive a confirmation that the article has been received and are informed as soon as possible as to whether the article will be submitted to peer-review. The managing editor submits the article to the Editorial Board, which can decide to reject it (desk rejection) if it does not fall within the scope of the journal, does not comply with the editorial guidelines, or has been published or is under review elsewhere.

Articles and case notes are subject to a double-blind peer review process. REALaw peer review for articles and case notes is conducted by at least two referees from the Editorial Board, the Advisory Board and/or from outside the Editorial or Advisory Board. One referee is always external to the Editorial Board. Book reviews are peer-reviewed by one referee from the Editorial Board.

Upon accepting the assignment, the referee will assess the author's contribution within a period of 1 month. The referee's assessment will be based on the assessment form approved by the Editorial Board, which the referee will submit to the managing editor via e-mail. The article may be accepted, considered acceptable with minor or major revisions, after revision and resubmission, or rejected. If the referee suggests to 'revise and resubmit' the article, the article is subject to new assessment after the resubmission. The main criterion for acceptance is whether the contribution is of good/excellent quality with regard to the state of knowledge in the field of European and/or comparative administrative law. The double-blind peer-review procedure takes approximately 2 months.