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REALaw peer review

1. Authors must submit their REALaw contributions to the managing editor via e-mail (realaw@rug.nl). Authors will receive a confirmation that the article has been received and will be informed as soon as possible whether the article will be submitted to peer-review. The double-blind peer-review procedure takes approximately six weeks.

2. REALaw peer review will be conducted by at least two referees from the REALaw Editorial Board and/or the Editorial Advisory Board. In special cases the Editorial Board may decide to invite a referee from outside the Editorial Advisory Board.

3. Upon accepting the assignment, the referee will assess the author’s contribution within a period of 14 days. The referee’s assessment will be based on the assessment form approved by the Editorial Board (see page 2), which the referee will submit to the managing editor via e-mail.
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REALaw peer review assessment form

The main criterion for acceptance is whether the contribution is of good/excellent quality with regard to the state of knowledge in the field of European Administrative Law.

1. Is the article based on a relevant research question and does it make an innovative contribution to existing legal knowledge and/or the legal and social debate?
   agree O O O O O  disagree

2. Does the article provide new insights for further legal research or the resolving of legal issues concerning European administrative law?
   agree O O O O O  disagree

3. Does the article demonstrate profundness, is it methodically sound and does it provide clear and systematic insight into the author’s line of thought and reasoning?
   agree O O O O O  disagree

4. Are the conclusions and findings sufficiently supported?
   agree O O O O O  disagree

5. Does the article provide a relevant overview of and insight into recent developments and prominent literature in the field of the subject discussed?
   agree O O O O O  disagree

Confidential comments to the managing editor:

Comments to the author:

Recommendation:
   o Accept
   o Minor revisions necessary
   o Major revisions necessary
   o Reject

I hereby declare that I am not in any relevant way related to the author or involved in the subject of the contribution.

Place, date, signature

........................................, ........................................, .............................